$$
\sqrt{ } 3,(3 / 2)(-3+\sqrt{ } 5), 3 \sqrt{ } 2 / 2,(3 / 190)\left(-15+(35)^{1 / 2}\right),\left(15-(15)^{1 / 2}\right) / 70
$$

The over-all evidence suggests very strongly that in most practical situations $\operatorname{method}(A)$ is preferable to method (B).

Table

|  | Method (A). <br> $\|q\|$-bound for <br> convergence | Method (B). <br> q-range for <br> convergence | Method (B). <br> q-range such that <br> convergence factor $\leq \cdot 1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1.73 | $(-1.15,2.12)$ | $(-.143, .159)$ |
| 3 | 1.43 | $(-.860,1.43)$ | $(-.119, . .135)$ |
| 4 | 1.33 | $(-.738,1.64)$ | $(-.106, .117)$ |
| 5 | 1.21 | $(-.711,1.21)$ | $(-.099,, .102)$ |
| 6 | 1.16 | $(-.687,1.50)$ | $(-.0926, .0866)$ |
| 7 | 1.10 | $(-.576, .813)$ | $(-.0769, .0686)$ |
| 8 | 1.07 | $(-.493, .475)$ | $(-.0629, .0517)$ |
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# A Polynomial Approximation Converging in a Lens-Shaped Region ${ }^{1}$ 

By Jay A. Leavitt

The Taylor series expansion of $y=1 /\left(1+x^{2}\right)$ about $x=0$ has a radius of convergence $R=1$, while the function itself is analytic for all real values of $x$. In order to represent $1 /\left(1+x^{2}\right)$ by a Taylor series for values of $x$ outside the interval $(-1,1)$, it is necessary to expand about a point of nonsymmetry.

In practice, given an analytic function $f(x)$, one uses only its truncated Taylor series $T_{n}(x)$. The expansion of such a truncated series of order $n$, i.e. $T_{n}(x)$, about the point $b$ provides a polynomial, say $V_{n}(z)$ where $z=x-b$, which is of order $n$. But $V_{n}(z)$ converges to $f(x)$ only in the original circle of convergence of the $T_{n}(x)$. Nevertheless, this property is used to produce a sequence of even polynomials, $U_{n}(x)$, which have real coefficients and which converge to $y=1 /\left(1+x^{2}\right)$ in a lens-shaped region that includes an extended interval of the real axis.

Let us expand $1 /(x+i)$ about $x=(\lambda-1) i$ and $1 /(x-i)$ about $x=-(\lambda-1) i$ and truncate; $\lambda \geq 1$ real.

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{x+i} \simeq \frac{1}{\lambda i}\left[1-(s / \lambda i)+(s / \lambda i)^{2}-+\cdots+(-1)^{n}(s / \lambda i)^{n}\right] \equiv \frac{1}{\lambda i} P_{n}(s)  \tag{1}\\
& \frac{1}{x-i} \simeq \frac{-1}{\lambda i}\left[1+(t / \lambda i)+(t / \lambda i)^{2}+\cdots+(t / \lambda i)^{n}\right] \equiv \frac{1}{\lambda i} Q_{n}(t)
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where $s=x-(\lambda-1) i$ and $t=x+(\lambda-1) i$.
$P_{n}(s)$ and $Q_{n}(t)$ approximate series that converge in the circles of radius $|\lambda|$ with centers $s=0, t=0$ respectively. The intersection of these circles is a lens lying between $-\sqrt{ }(2 \lambda-1)$ and $+\sqrt{ }(2 \lambda-1)$ on the real axis and between $\pm i$ on the imaginary axis.

If we translate $P_{n}(s)$ and $Q_{n}(t)$ to the origin, the expansion

$$
\frac{1}{2 \lambda}\left[P_{n}(s)-Q_{n}(t)\right]=\frac{1}{2 \lambda}\left[P_{n}(x-(\lambda-1) i)-Q_{n}(x+(\lambda-1) i)\right] \equiv U_{n}(x)
$$

is a polynomial approximation for $1 /\left(1+x^{2}\right)$ in this lens. Furthermore, this polynomial is real and symmetric in $x$ because the coefficients of $x^{k}$ vanish for $k$ odd, and are real for $k$ even,

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{n}(x) & =\frac{1}{2 \lambda} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\left[\left(\frac{x+(\lambda-1) i}{\lambda i}\right)^{j}+(-1)^{j}\left(\frac{x-(\lambda-1) i}{\lambda i}\right)^{j}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \lambda} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{1}{\lambda^{j}} \sum_{k=0}^{j}\binom{j}{k}\left(\frac{x}{i}\right)^{k}(\lambda-1)^{j-k}\left[1+(-1)^{k}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

This approximation can also be obtained by using a theorem by Appell. ${ }^{2}$ By summing the geometric series (1), we find that the error, $R_{n+1}$, is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{n+1} & \equiv \frac{1}{1+x^{2}}-\frac{1}{2 \lambda}\left[P_{n}(s)-Q_{n}(t)\right] \\
& =\frac{i}{2}\left[\frac{(t / \lambda i)^{n+1}}{\lambda i-t}+(-1)^{n+1} \frac{(s / \lambda i)^{n+1}}{\lambda i+s}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

This can be re-expressed as

$$
R_{n+1}=\frac{i}{2}\left[\frac{\left(\frac{x+(\lambda-1) i}{\lambda i}\right)^{n+1}}{i-x}+(-1)^{n+1} \frac{\left(\frac{x-(\lambda-1) i}{\lambda i}\right)^{n+1}}{i+x}\right]
$$

which reduces to

$$
R_{n+1}=\left[\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\lambda-.1}{\lambda}\right)^{2}\right]^{(n+1) / 2}\left[\frac{\cos [(n+1) \theta]-x \sin [(n+1) \theta]}{x^{2}+1}\right]
$$

where $\theta=\arg ((\lambda-1) / \lambda+x i / \lambda)$.
Below is a comparison between the standard Taylor approximation and the method of this paper. The degree is 27 and $\lambda=2$. The odd coefficients are zero and the even are given by:

[^1]. 9999999963
-. 9999984838
. 9999100044
-. 9981404170
. 9821509309
-. 9075333290
. 7142059058
-. 4252770096
. 1724642254
$-.4357927665 \times 10^{-1}$
$.6270475686 \times 10^{-2}$
$-.4561170936 \times 10^{-3}$
$.1372024417 \times 10^{-4}$
$-.1080334187 \times 10^{-6}$

|  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $x$ | $\overline{1+x^{2}}$ |
| 0.0 | 1.0000000000 |
| . 1 | . 9900990099 |
| . 2 | . 9615384615 |
| . 3 | . 9174311927 |
| . 4 | . 8620689655 |
| . 5 | . 8000000000 |
| . 6 | . 7352941176 |
| . 7 | . 6711409369 |
| . 8 | . 6097560975 |
| . 9 | . 5524861878 |
| 1.0 | . 5000000000 |
| 1.1 | . 4524886878 |
| 1.2 | . 4098360656 |
| 1.3 | . 3717472119 |
| 1.4 | . 3378378378 |
| 1.5 | . 3076923077 |


| $\frac{1}{1+x^{2}}-T_{27}(x)$ | $R_{28}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | $.37 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| . $99 \times 10^{-28}$ | $-.41 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| $.16 \times 10^{-18}$ | $.53 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| . $21 \times 10^{-14}$ | $-.67 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| $.62 \times 10^{-11}$ | $.11 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| $.30 \times 10^{-8}$ | $.48 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| $.45 \times 10^{-6}$ | $-.24 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $.31 \times 10^{-4}$ | $.34 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $.12 \times 10^{-2}$ | . $22 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| . $29 \times 10^{-1}$ | $-.83 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| . 5 | $-.31 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| - | $.93 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| - | $.61 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| - | $.39 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| - | $-.65 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| - | $-.30 \times 10^{-1}$ |
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